REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER HOPKINS COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

January 5, 2003



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS WWW.KYAUDITOR.NET

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELE. (502) 564-5841 FAX (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE FORMER HOPKINS COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

January 5, 2003

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes for former Hopkins County Sheriff as of January 5, 2003. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The former Sheriff collected taxes of \$11,710,161 for the districts for 2002 taxes, retaining commissions of \$320,627 to operate the Sheriff's office. The former Sheriff distributed taxes of \$11,358,293 to the districts for 2002 Taxes. Refunds of \$785 are due to the former Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The former Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

<u>CONTENTS</u>	PAGE
COTTENTS	THOE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION9
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS13



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Patricia M. Hawkins, Hopkins County Judge/Executive
Honorable Gary Lee, Former Hopkins County Sheriff
Honorable Frank Latham, Hopkins County Sheriff
Members of the Hopkins County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the former Hopkins County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of January 5, 2003. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the former Hopkins County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the former Hopkins County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of January 5, 2003, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Patricia M. Hawkins, Hopkins County Judge/Executive
Honorable Gary Lee, Former Hopkins County Sheriff
Honorable Frank Latham, Hopkins County Sheriff
Members of the Hopkins County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated May 29, 2003, on our consideration of the former Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 29, 2003

HOPKINS COUNTY GARY LEE, FORMER COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES

January 5, 2003

				Special				
Charges	Co	unty Taxes	Tax	king Districts	Sc	hool Taxes	St	tate Taxes
Real Estate	\$	1,292,133	\$	450,804	\$	6,640,107	\$	1,503,775
Tangible Personal Property		253,299		73,594		1,020,128		662,890
Intangible Personal Property								286,743
Fire Protection		1,386						
Taxes Increased Through								
Erroneous Assessments		396		128		2,001		463
Franchise Corporation		162,517		49,064		754,808		
Additional Billings		1,104		362		5,655		1,289
Oil and Gas Property Taxes		6,941		2,274		35,662		8,078
Moratorium Taxes		402		232		7,068		1,601
Bank Franchises		96,147						
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt		(2)		(7)		(1)		46
						·		
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	\$	1,814,323	\$	576,451	\$	8,465,428	\$	2,464,885
<u>Credits</u>								
Crodics								
Exonerations	\$	7,375	\$	2,607	\$	35,347	\$	10,605
Discounts		24,118		7,522		109,599		37,049
Transferred to Incoming Sheriff		178,699		60,999		897,715		239,291
Č								
Total Credits	\$	210,192	\$	71,128	\$	1,042,661	\$	286,945
Taxes Collected	\$	1,604,131	\$	505,323	\$	7,422,767	\$	2,177,940
Less: Commissions *		68,463		21,124		138,190		92,850
Taxes Due	\$	1,535,668	\$	484,199	\$	7,284,577	\$	2,085,090
Taxes Paid		1,534,913		484,349		7,279,326		2,059,705
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)		1,043		346		5,251		25,386
D C 1 D C1 'CC				**				
Refunds Due Sheriff	Φ.	(200)	Φ.		Φ.		Φ.	/45
as of Completion of Fieldwork	\$	(288)	\$	(496)	\$	0	\$	(1)

^{*} and ** See Page 4

HOPKINS COUNTY GARY LEE, FORMER COUNTY SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2002 TAXES January 5, 2003 (Continued)

* Commissions:

10% on \$ 10,000 4.25% on \$ 4,266,542 1.87% on \$ 7,176,632 1.62% on \$ 246,135 1% on \$ 10,852

** Special Taxing Districts:

Health District	\$ (1)
West Fork - Pond River Watrshed	(26)
Earlington Fire District	 (469)

(Refunds Due Sheriff) \$ (496)

HOPKINS COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

January 5, 2003

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The former Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of January 5, 2003, the former Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the former Sheriff's agent in the former Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the former Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

HOPKINS COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT January 5, 2003 (Continued)

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

Property Taxes

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2002. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2003. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 27, 2002 through January 5, 2003.

Note 5. Interest Income

The former Sheriff earned \$2,980 as interest income on 2002 taxes. As of May 29, 2003, the former Sheriff owes \$46 in interest to the school districts and \$172 in interest to his fee account.



HOPKINS COUNTY GARY LEE, FORMER COUNTY SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

January 5, 2003

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The former Sheriff's office has a lack of segregation of duties. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small size and budget restrictions the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. The former Sheriff could have implemented compensating controls to offset this internal control weakness.

Former County Sheriff's Response:

None.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
Gordon C. Duke, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Patricia M. Hawkins, Hopkins County Judge/Executive
Honorable Gary Lee, Former Hopkins County Sheriff
Honorable Frank Latham, Hopkins County Sheriff
Members of the Hopkins County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the former Hopkins County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of January 5, 2003, and have issued our report thereon dated May 29, 2003. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Hopkins County Sheriff's Settlement - 2002 Taxes as of January 5, 2003 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing</u> Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Hopkins County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition.



Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. A reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

• Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we consider the reportable condition described above to be a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - May 29, 2003